

Washington State Institute for Public Policy Adult Mental Health Benefit-Cost Results

The WSIPP benefit-cost analysis examines, on an apples-to-apples basis, the monetary value of programs or policies to determine whether the benefits from the program exceed its costs. WSIPP's research approach to identifying evidence-based programs and policies has three main steps. First, we determine "what works" (and what does not work) to improve outcomes using a statistical technique called meta-analysis. Second, we calculate whether the benefits of a program exceed its costs. Third, we estimate the risk of investing in a program by testing the sensitivity of our results. For more detail on our methods, see our technical manual.

Current estimates replace old estimates. Numbers will change over time as a result of model inputs and monetization methods.

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) for anxiety

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: Cognitive-behavioral therapies include various components, such as cognitive restructuring, behavioral activation, emotion regulation, exposure, communication skills, and problem-solving. Most commonly, studies offering this treatment provided 10-20 therapeutic hours per client in individual or group modality. Most studies in this analysis focused on a single anxiety disorder (generalized anxiety, obsessive-compulsive, panic, social phobia) with aspects of the treatment tailored to the specific disorder. This review excludes studies of CBT for post-traumatic stress disorder.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|-------|---------|---|-------------|------|--------|------------------------|-----|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) | | | Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors benefit-cost analysis | | | | ors used in | the | |
| | participant | | | | | First time | ES is estim | ated | | d time ES i timated | S | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | |
| Anxiety disorder | Primary | 20 | -0.836 | 0.095 | 0.000 | -0.527 | 0.095 | 31 | -0.274 | 0.116 | 33 | |

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) for PTSD

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: Treatments include several components, such psycho-education about PTSD, relaxation and other techniques for managing physiological and emotional stress, exposure (the gradual desensitization to memories of the traumatic event) and, cognitive restructuring of inaccurate or unhelpful thoughts. The studies in this review employed a number of trauma-specific treatment models including Prolonged Exposure Therapy (PE), Narrative Exposure Therapy (NET), and Cognitive Processing Therapy (CPT). In the studies in this review, treatments provided between one and 50 therapeutic hours per client in individual or group settings. Studies were conducted on all continents and subjects had experienced a variety of types trauma including terrorism, sexual or physical assault, domestic violence, war, political detention, and automobile accidents.

| | | Meta-An | alysis of | f Progra | ım Effec | ets | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------|-----------|----------|---|--------|-------|-----|----------------------|----------------------------|-----|
| Outcomes measured | utcomes measured Primary or secondary participant No. of eff sizes | | | | Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) | | | | st analysis Secon | ors used in d time ES i | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age |
| Employment | Primary | 1 | 0.821 | 0.535 | 0.125 | 0.337 | 0.535 | 39 | 0.337 | 0.535 | 40 |
| Post-traumatic stress | Primary | 57 | -0.907 | 0.050 | 0.000 | -0.438 | 0.050 | 39 | -0.438 | 0.050 | 40 |

Collaborative primary care for anxiety

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: A care manager provides management and follow-up for patients with anxiety; collaborates primary care provider and usually mental health specialists. The manager focuses both on improving anxiety symptoms.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|-------|---------|---|-------------|------|--------|--------------------------|-----|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) | | | Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in benefit-cost analysis | | | | | the | |
| | participant | | | | | First time | ES is estim | ated | | nd time ES i stimated | S | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | |
| Anxiety disorder | Primary | 4 | -0.459 | 0.123 | 0.000 | -0.393 | 0.123 | 44 | -0.192 | 0.134 | 46 | |

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) for depression

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: Cognitive-behavioral therapies include various components, such as cognitive restructuring, behavioral activation, emotion regulation, communication skills, and problem-solving. Treatment is goal-oriented and generally of limited duration. Most commonly, studies offering this treatment provided 10-20 therapeutic hours per client in individual or group modality.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|-------|---------|----------|----------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-------------|-----|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) | | | Adjusted | | | tandard err st analysis | ors used in | the | |
| | participant | | · · | | | | First time ES is estimated | | ted Second time E estimated | | S | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | |
| Major depressive disorder | Primary | 43 | -0.675 | 0.056 | 0.000 | -0.442 | 0.056 | 37 | -0.230 | 0.069 | 39 | |

Collaborative primary care for depression

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: A care manager provides management, follow-up, and collaborates with primary care provider and (usually) mental health specialists. The manager focuses on improving depression symptoms.

| | | Meta-An | alysis ot | f Progra | ım Effec | cts | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|----------|----------|---|-------------|------|--------|------------------------|-----|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) | | | Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in benefit-cost analysis | | | | | the |
| | participant | cipant | | | | First time | ES is estim | ated | | d time ES i timated | S |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age |
| Major depressive disorder | Primary | 48 | -0.270 | 0.024 | 0.000 | -0.279 | 0.024 | 52 | -0.137 | 0.026 | 54 |

Collaborative primary care for depression with comorbid medical conditions

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: A care manager provides management and follow-up for depressed patients with any comorbidity and collaborates w/GP and (usually) mental health specialists. Manager focuses both on improving depression and chronic illness symptoms.

| | | Meta-Ar | alysis of | Progra | ım Effec | cts | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------|---------------------------------------|--------|----------|------------|-------------|-----|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Outcomes measured Primary or secondary participant No. of effect sizes Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) First time ES is estimated Solution Adjusted effect sizes and standar effects model) | | | | | | | | | | ors used ir | n the |
| | participant | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | First time | ES is estim | | Second time ES is estimated | | |
| | | | ES SE p-value | | | | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age |
| Major depressive disorder | Primary | 11 | -0.395 | 0.077 | 0.000 | -0.384 | 0.077 | 62 | -0.188 | 0.084 | 64 |
| Blood pressure | Primary | 4 | -0.459 | 0.182 | 0.000 | -0.355 | 0.182 | 62 | -0.174 | 0.198 | 64 |
| Blood sugar | Primary | 3 | -0.254 | 0.134 | 0.059 | -0.213 | 0.134 | 62 | -0.104 | 0.146 | 64 |

PTSD prevention following trauma

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: The studies in this review provide CBT treatment to persons in the first weeks and months following trauma, before a diagnosis of PTSD could be made. Treatments in the studies in this review involved five to ten hours of individual therapy that combined education on effects of trauma, relaxation, and exposure.

| | | Meta-An | alysis o | f Progra | ım Effec | cts | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------|--------|-------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary participant | ndary sizes effects model) be | | | | | ben | efit-co | st analysis | ors used in | |
| | | | | | | | | stimated | | | |
| | | | ES SE p-value ES | | | | | Age | ES | SE | Age |
| Post-traumatic stress | Primary | 11 | -0.655 | 0.106 | 0.000 | -0.331 | 0.106 | 36 | -0.331 | 0.106 | 37 |

Primary care in behavioral health settings (integrated care settings)

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: Behavioral health settings (mental health and substance abuse treatment centers) provide primary care for patients on site or nearby. This collection of studies was conducted at Veterans Administration facilities or facilities of Kaiser Permanente where patients might have more ready access to primary care than community-based treatment centers.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted eff | d effect size ects mode | | Adjusted | | | tandard erro st analysis | ors used in | the | | |
| | participant | | | | | First time | ES is estim | ated | | d time ES is timated | S | | |
| | | | ES | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | | | | |
| Alcohol abuse or dependence | Primary | 3 | -0.001 | 0.124 | 0.995 | -0.001 | 0.124 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |
| Illicit drug abuse or dependence | Primary | 2 | -0.016 | 0.081 | 0.845 | -0.016 | 0.081 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |
| Hospitalization (general) | Primary | 5 | -0.030 | 0.053 | 0.557 | -0.030 | 0.053 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |
| Hospitalization (psychiatric) | Primary | 1 | -0.067 | 4.280 | 0.987 | -0.067 | 4.280 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |
| Emergency department visits | Primary | 3 | -0.090 | 0.105 | 0.388 | -0.090 | 0.105 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |
| Blood pressure | Primary | 1 | -0.075 | 0.102 | 0.460 | -0.075 | 0.102 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |
| Blood sugar | Primary | 1 | -0.068 | 0.102 | 0.504 | -0.068 | 0.102 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |
| Death | Primary | 2 | -0.077 | 0.160 | 0.632 | -0.077 | 0.160 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |
| Cholesterol | Primary | 1 | -0.018 | 0.102 | 0.860 | -0.018 | 0.102 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |
| Primary care visits | Primary | 2 | 0.531 | 0.188 | 0.005 | 0.531 | 0.188 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |

Primary care in behavioral health settings

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: These studies evaluated co-location of primary care in behavioral health settings (mental health and substance abuse treatment centers). Of 11 studies, six were conducted in Veterans' Administration health facilities; two were conducted at Kaiser Permanente addiction centers; and three were conducted at other community addiction treatment centers.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------|-------------|------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted eff | d effect size ects mode | | Adjusted | | | tandard erro st analysis | ors used in | the | |
| | participant | | | | | First time | ES is estim | ated | | d time ES i timated | S | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | |
| Alcohol abuse or dependence | Primary | 3 | -0.001 | 0.124 | 0.995 | -0.001 | 0.124 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | |
| Illicit drug abuse or dependence | Primary | 2 | -0.017 | 0.081 | 0.845 | -0.017 | 0.081 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | |
| Hospitalization (general) | Primary | 8 | -0.033 | 0.043 | 0.659 | -0.033 | 0.043 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | |
| Hospitalization (psychiatric) | Primary | 1 | -0.067 | 4.281 | 0.987 | -0.067 | 4.281 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | |
| Emergency department visits | Primary | 9 | 0.009 | 0.045 | 0.845 | 0.009 | 0.045 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | |
| Blood pressure | Primary | 2 | -0.064 | 0.090 | 0.460 | -0.064 | 0.090 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | |
| Blood sugar | Primary | 2 | -0.057 | 0.091 | 0.530 | -0.057 | 0.091 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | |
| Death | Primary | 2 | -0.007 | 0.160 | 0.860 | -0.007 | 0.160 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | |
| Cholesterol | Primary | 2 | -0.054 | 0.090 | 0.550 | -0.054 | 0.090 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | |
| Primary care visits | Primary | 7 | 0.289 | 0.172 | 0.092 | 0.289 | 0.172 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | |

Individual Placement and Support for individuals with serious mental illness

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: These studies assess the Individual Placement and Support (IPS) model of supported employment compared with typical vocational services for individuals with serious mental illness. The IPS model focuses on competitive employment, client interests, rapid job placement and ongoing support by employment specialists. In contrast, the comparison groups typically received vocational services that focused on building job skills before employment placement.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------|-------|------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted eff | d effect size fects mode | | Adjusted | | | andard erro at analysis | ors used in | the | |
| | participant | ES SE p-value ES S | | | | | | ated | | d time ES is imated | S | |
| | | | | | | | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | |
| Employment | Primary | 5 | 0.358 | 0.283 | 0.206 | 0.358 | 0.283 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | |
| Hospitalization (psychiatric) | Primary | 2 | -0.003 | 0.288 | 0.993 | -0.003 | 0.288 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | |
| Earnings | Primary | 6 | 0.385 | 0.123 | 0.002 | 0.385 | 0.123 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | |
| Competitive employment | Primary | 13 | 1.075 | 0.105 | 0.001 | 1.075 | 0.105 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | |
| Hours worked | Primary | 4 | 0.303 | 0.196 | 0.121 | 0.303 | 0.196 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | |
| Psychiatric symptoms | Primary | 1 | -0.136 | 0.164 | 0.404 | -0.136 | 0.164 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | |

Peer support: Substitution of a peer specialist for a non-peer on the treatment team Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: The programs examined in this analysis compared treatment teams with a peer specialist to treatment teams with a non-peer in a similar role. The treatment teams in this analysis provided services to individuals with severe mental illness, major depression, or individuals receiving VA services for a psychiatric diagnosis.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|-------|------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----|--|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted eff | l effect size ects mode | | Adjusted | | | tandard erro st analysis | ors used in | the | | |
| | participant | First time ES is estim | | | | | | ated | | d time ES i timated | S | | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | | |
| Crime | Primary | 2 | 0.256 | 0.221 | 0.246 | 0.256 | 0.221 | 44 | n/a | n/a | 45 | | |
| Alcohol abuse or dependence | Primary | 1 | 0.169 | 0.141 | 0.228 | 0.169 | 0.141 | 44 | n/a | n/a | 45 | | |
| Employment | Primary | 1 | -0.080 | 0.141 | 0.569 | -0.080 | 0.141 | 44 | n/a | n/a | 45 | | |
| Hospitalization (psychiatric) | Primary | 4 | 0.022 | 0.174 | 0.901 | 0.022 | 0.174 | 44 | n/a | n/a | 45 | | |
| Homelessness | Primary | 2 | 0.045 | 0.122 | 0.711 | 0.045 | 0.122 | 44 | n/a | n/a | 45 | | |
| Emergency department visits | Primary | 1 | -0.471 | 0.244 | 0.053 | -0.471 | 0.244 | 44 | n/a | n/a | 45 | | |
| Psychiatric symptoms | Primary | 6 | 0.050 | 0.131 | 0.701 | 0.050 | 0.131 | 44 | n/a | n/a | 45 | | |

Mobile crisis response

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: Two types of mobile crisis interventions were included in this analysis: an interdisciplinary team who was dispatched after individuals called a mental health hotline and a 911 response team staffed by police and psychiatric nurses.

| | | Meta-Ar | nalysis of | f Progra | ım Effec | cts | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|---------|-------|------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Outcomes measured | No. of effect sizes | | d effect size fects mode | , | | efit-co | | ors used ir d time ES istimated | | | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age |
| Crime | Primary | 1 | -0.662 | 0.304 | 0.030 | -0.662 | 0.304 | 36 | n/a | n/a | 37 |
| Hospitalization (psychiatric) | Primary | 2 | -0.420 | 0.216 | 0.052 | -0.420 | 0.216 | 36 | n/a | n/a | 37 |

Primary care in behavioral health settings (community-based settings)

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: Behavioral health settings (mental health and substance abuse treatment centers) provide primary care for patients on site or nearby. This collection of studies was conducted at community-based treatment centers.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|-------|------------|---|-------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | ct Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) | | | Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in the benefit-cost analysis | | | | | | | | |
| | participant | | | | First time | ES is estim | ated | Second time ES is estimated | | | | | | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | | | |
| Regular smoking | Primary | 1 | 0.116 | 0.194 | 0.548 | 0.116 | 0.194 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | | |
| Body mass index (BMI) | Primary | 1 | -0.002 | 0.194 | 0.992 | -0.002 | 0.194 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | | |
| Hospitalization (general) | Primary | 4 | -0.058 | 0.150 | 0.701 | -0.058 | 0.150 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | | |
| Emergency department visits | Primary | 6 | 0.064 | 0.045 | 0.157 | 0.064 | 0.045 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | | |
| Blood pressure | Primary | 2 | -0.064 | 0.090 | 0.480 | -0.064 | 0.090 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | | |
| Blood sugar | Primary | 1 | -0.015 | 0.198 | 0.940 | -0.015 | 0.198 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | | |
| Cholesterol | Primary | 1 | -0.188 | 0.196 | 0.974 | -0.188 | 0.196 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | | |
| Primary care visits | Primary | 5 | 0.177 | 0.240 | 0.461 | 0.177 | 0.240 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | | |

Peer support: Addition of a peer specialist to the treatment team

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: The programs examined in this analysis compared treatment teams with a peer specialist to treatment teams without a peer specialist. The treatment teams in this analysis provided services to individuals with serious mental illness or individuals receiving VA services for a psychiatric diagnosis.

| | Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted eff | d effect size fects mode | Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in the benefit-cost analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
| | participant | | | | First time | ES is estim | ated | Second time ES is estimated | | | | | | | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | | | | |
| Crime | Primary | 1 | 0.000 | 0.243 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.243 | 46 | n/a | n/a | 47 | | | | |
| Employment | Primary | 1 | 0.386 | 0.133 | 0.004 | 0.386 | 0.133 | 46 | n/a | n/a | 47 | | | | |
| Global functioning | Primary | 1 | 0.685 | 0.135 | 0.001 | 0.685 | 0.135 | 46 | n/a | n/a | 47 | | | | |
| Hospitalization (psychiatric) | Primary | 7 | -0.064 | 0.123 | 0.604 | -0.064 | 0.123 | 46 | n/a | n/a | 47 | | | | |
| Homelessness | Primary | 1 | -0.138 | 0.243 | 0.569 | -0.138 | 0.243 | 46 | n/a | n/a | 47 | | | | |
| Psychiatric symptoms | Primary | 3 | 0.035 | 0.093 | 0.710 | 0.035 | 0.093 | 46 | n/a | n/a | 47 | | | | |

Illness Management and Recovery (IMR)

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: Illness Management and Recovery (IMR) is a 40-hour curriculum for individuals with severe mental illness which addresses recovery strategies and information about serious mental illness. The evaluations in this analysis include data from programs where IMR was delivered to individuals and programs where IMR was delivered to a group.

| | | Meta-An | alysis of | Progra | ım Effec | :ts | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted ef | d effect size ects mode | n Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in the benefit-cost analysis | | | | | | |
| | participant | F | | First time | ES is estim | ated | Second time ES is estimated | | | | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age |
| Crime | Primary | 1 | 0.027 | 0.246 | 0.914 | 0.027 | 0.246 | 48 | n/a | n/a | 49 |
| Employment | Primary | 2 | 0.010 | 0.262 | 0.969 | 0.010 | 0.262 | 48 | n/a | n/a | 49 |
| Hospitalization (psychiatric) | Primary | 3 | -0.095 | 0.190 | 0.617 | -0.095 | 0.190 | 48 | n/a | n/a | 49 |
| Psychiatric symptoms | Primary | 2 | -0.517 | 0.404 | 0.200 | -0.517 | 0.404 | 48 | n/a | n/a | 49 |
| Suicidal ideation | Primary | 2 | -0.517 | 0.665 | 0.437 | -0.517 | 0.665 | 48 | n/a | n/a | 49 |

Forensic Assertive Community Treatment

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: Forensic Assertive Community Treatment (FACT) is an adaptation of Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) for individuals with involvement in the criminal justice system. In this analysis the study population included individuals with serious mental illness who were identified as candidates for FACT in jail.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|-------|---------|---|-------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary No. of effe | | Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) | | | Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in benefit-cost analysis | | | | | the | | |
| | participant | | | | | First time ES is estimated | | | Second time ES is estimated | | | | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | | |
| Crime | Primary | 1 | -0.111 | 0.173 | 0.524 | -0.111 | 0.173 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |
| Hospitalization (psychiatric) | Primary | 1 | -0.210 | 0.174 | 0.226 | -0.210 | 0.174 | 41 | n/a | n/a | 42 | | |

Supported housing for chronically homeless adults

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: These programs provide permanent supportive housing to chronically homeless single adults. Most of the studies reviewed here used the Housing First model which provides independent apartments with no specific requirements for abstinence or treatment. Programs typically provide intensive case management and services. Housing is in independent apartments; participants hold the lease but receive subsidies to pay rent.

Supported housing is associated with significant reductions in homelessness which we are unable to monetize at this time. To test the sensitivity of our benefit-cost results to this known limitation of our model, we examined a recent comprehensive benefit-cost study of housing vouchers (Carlson et al., 2010). Our benefit-cost results would not change significantly if we had included the benefits of providing housing estimated by this study.

Carlson, D., Haveman, R, Kaplan, T., & Wolfe, B. (2010). The benefits and costs of the section 8 housing subsidy program: a framework and first-year estimates (Discussion Paper no. 1380-10). Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin-Madison, Institute for Research on Poverty.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------|-------------|------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted eff | d effect size ects mode | | Adjusted | | | tandard err st analysis | ors used in | the | | | |
| | participant | | | | | First time | ES is estim | ated | Second time ES is estimated | | | | | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | | | |
| Crime | Primary | 6 | -0.103 | 0.058 | 0.078 | -0.103 | 0.058 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | | | |
| Alcohol abuse or dependence | Primary | 2 | -0.087 | 0.144 | 0.423 | -0.087 | 0.144 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | | | |
| Employment | Primary | 2 | 0.161 | 0.201 | 0.424 | 0.161 | 0.201 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | | | |
| Illicit drug abuse or dependence | Primary | 1 | 0.073 | 0.180 | 0.684 | 0.073 | 0.180 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | | | |
| Hospitalization (general) | Primary | 5 | -0.141 | 0.069 | 0.041 | -0.141 | 0.069 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | | | |
| Hospitalization (psychiatric) | Primary | 4 | -0.057 | 0.028 | 0.047 | -0.057 | 0.028 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | | | |
| Homelessness | Primary | 8 | -0.503 | 0.023 | 0.000 | -0.503 | 0.023 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | | | |
| Emergency department visits | Primary | 3 | -0.179 | 0.070 | 0.010 | -0.179 | 0.070 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | | | |
| Primary care visits | Primary | 3 | 0.157 | 0.052 | 0.003 | 0.157 | 0.052 | 40 | n/a | n/a | 41 | | | |

Assertive Community Treatment

Literature review updated May 2014.

Program Description: Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) is a treatment and case management approach that includes the following key elements: a multidisciplinary team that includes a medication prescriber, direct service provided by team members, caseloads that are shared between team members, services provided in locations convenient for the patient, and low patient-to-staff ratios. The studies reviewed in this analysis compared ACT to treatment as usual or other forms of case management. ACT is associated with significant reductions in homelessness, for which the current WSIPP benefit-cost model does not estimate monetary benefits. To test the sensitivity of our benefit-cost results to this known limitation, we examined a recent comprehensive benefit-cost study of housing vouchers (Carlson et al., 2011). Our benefit-cost results would not change significantly if we had included the benefits of providing housing estimated by this study.

Carlson, D., Haveman, R., Kaplan, T., & Wolfe, B. (2011). The benefits and costs of the Section 8 housing subsidy program: A framework and estimates of firstyear effects. Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, 30(2), 233-255.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-----|--|--|--|
| Outcomes measured | Primary or secondary | No. of effect sizes | Unadjusted eff | d effect size ects mode | Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in the benefit-cost analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| | participant | | | | First time | ES is estim | ated | Second time ES is estimated | | | | | | |
| | | | ES | SE | p-value | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | | | |
| Crime | Primary | 8 | -0.042 | 0.070 | 0.546 | -0.042 | 0.070 | 42 | n/a | n/a | 43 | | | |
| Alcohol abuse or dependence | Primary | 4 | 0.097 | 0.127 | 0.446 | 0.097 | 0.127 | 42 | n/a | n/a | 43 | | | |
| Global functioning | Primary | 5 | 0.142 | 0.096 | 0.139 | 0.142 | 0.096 | 42 | n/a | n/a | 43 | | | |
| Hospitalization (general) | Primary | 4 | -0.014 | 0.110 | 0.897 | -0.014 | 0.110 | 42 | n/a | n/a | 43 | | | |
| Hospitalization (psychiatric) | Primary | 22 | -0.141 | 0.070 | 0.045 | -0.141 | 0.070 | 42 | 0.000 | 0.118 | 43 | | | |
| Homelessness | Primary | 8 | -0.228 | 0.098 | 0.020 | -0.228 | 0.098 | 42 | n/a | n/a | 43 | | | |
| Emergency department visits | Primary | 3 | -0.048 | 0.215 | 0.823 | -0.048 | 0.215 | 42 | n/a | n/a | 43 | | | |
| Psychiatric symptoms | Primary | 11 | -0.050 | 0.061 | 0.496 | -0.050 | 0.061 | 42 | n/a | n/a | 43 | | | |

For further information, contact: (360) 586-2677, institute@wsipp.wa.gov

Printed on 08-02-2014



Washington State Institute for Public Policy

The Washington State Legislature created the Washington State Institute for Public Policy in 1983. A board of Directors-representing the legislature, the governor, and public universities-governs WSIPP and guides the development of all activities. WSIPP's mission is to carry out practical research, at legislative direction, on issues of importance to Washington State.